```
SCOTT N. SCHOOLS, SC SBN 9990
    United States Attorney
   JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Chief, Civil Division
    ILA C. DEISS, NY SBN 3052909
 4
    Assistant United States Attorney
 5
       450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
       San Francisco, California 94102
       Telephone: (415) 436-7124
 6
       FAX: (415) 436-7169
 7
    Attorneys for Respondents
 8
 9
                               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                             NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
                                   SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
   HSUEH YUNG FENG,
12
                                                    No. C 07-1541 SC
13
                       Petitioner.
                                                    PARTIES' JOINT REQUEST TO BE
14
                 v.
                                                    EXEMPT FROM FORMAL ADR
15 MICHAEL CHERTOFF, Secretary, United States )
                                                    PROCESS
    Department of Homeland Security;
16 EMILIO GONZALEZ, Director, United States
   Citizenship and Immigration Services;
   GERARD HEINAUER, Director,
   Nebraska Service Center, United States
18 Citizenship and Immigration Services;
    DAVID N. STILL, District Director,
19 San Francisco District Office, United States
    Citizenship and Immigration Services;
   EMILIA BARDINI, Director, San Francisco
20
    Asylum Office, United States Citizenship and
21
    Immigration Services;
22
                       Respondents.
23
24
       Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled "Dispute
    Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," or the specified portions of the ADR
    Unit's Internet site <www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov>, discussed the available dispute resolution
26
    options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit
27
28
    Parties' Request to Exempt from ADR Process
    C 07-1541 SC
```

from any of them.

Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process will not be beneficial because this mandamus action is limited to petitioner's request that this Court compel respondents to adjudicate the asylee relative petition. Given the substance of the action and the lack of any potential middle ground, ADR will only serve to multiply the proceedings and unnecessarily tax court resources. Accordingly, pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-3(c), the parties request the case be removed from the ADR Multi-Option Program and that they be excused from participating in the ADR phone conference and any further formal ADR process.

9

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Dated: November 8, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

11 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney

12 13

14

Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for Respondents

Attorney for Petitioner

16

15

Dated: November 8, 2007 MICHAEL CONLAN

18

17

19

20 **ORDER**

Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.

22

21

23

24 Date:

25 26

27

28

Parties' Request to Exempt from ADR Process C 07-1541 SC

11/13/07

